GENTRAL FAX CEXTER MAR 2 2 2003

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO .: NORTE-500A

SERIAL NO.: 10/662,779

FILE DATE: September 15, 2003

TITLE: DISTRIBUTED INTERCONNECT

Examiner Steve Jones

03/22/2005 11:07

FAX NO.: (571) 273-1762

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION (§ 1.8)

I hereby certify that the following are being Facsimile transmitted to the Trademark Office on the date shown below:

Date of Transmission: March 22, 2005

Name:

Dawn A. Privett

Attorney Name: Bruce B. Brunda

Date: March 22, 2005

Stetina Brunda Garred & Brucker

75 Enterprise, Suite 250 Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 Tel.: (949) 855-1246

Fax: (949) 855-6371

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted

paper.

1. Election with Traverse (of 6 pages); and

2. Stamped Return Postcard.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO: NORTE-500A TITLE: DISTRIBUTED INTERCONNECT

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8 or 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on <u>September 15, 2004</u>

Dawn A. Privett

(Typed name of person signing certificate)

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

- 1. Election with Traverse;
- 2. Transmittal Form;
- 3. Certificate of Mailing; and
- 4. Return Postcard.

9498551246

5 Stetina Brunda Garred & Brucke P.O. Box 1450 Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Mail Stop AMENDMENT PATENT, TRADEMARK, AND COPYRIGHT CAUSES ALISO VIEJO, CALIFORNIA 92656 75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 FIRST CLASS MAIL

applicable.

Dear Sir:

Docket Number: NORTE-500A

Papers transmitted herewith:

- Election with Traverse;
- Certificate of Mailing; and Transmittal Form;

Return Postcard.

adding the serial number assigned where Date Mailed: September 15, 2004 Title: DISTRIBUTED INTERCONNECT

Dear Sir:

Please date stamp and return this card, adding the serial number assigned when

adding the serial number assigned where applicable.

Date Mailed: September 15, 2004

Serial No.: 10/662,779 Filing Date: 09/15/2003

Title: DISTRIBUTED INTERCONNECT

Papers transmitted herewith:

1. Election with Traverse;

2. Transmittal Form;

3. Certificate of Mailing; and

4. Return Postcard.



(BBB/dp)

Docket Number: NORTE-500A

9498551246

PTO/SB/21 (06-03) Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

Under the Pa	nerwork Reduction Act of 1995, no pers	U.S. Pate ons are required to respond to a collec-	ent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE tion of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.				
		Application Number	10/662,779				
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date	09/15/2003				
		First Named Inventor	Kent E. Peterson				
(to be used for all correspondence after Initial filing)		Art Unit	2817				
110 00 0000 107		Examiner Name					
		Attorney Docket Number	Stephen E. Jones				
Total Number of	Pages in This Submission	7.11.0.11.0.7	NORTE-500A				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Amendment Af Af Af Extension Express Af Information Document	ter Final fidavits/declaration(s) of Time Request Abandonment Request in Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority 1(s)	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Add Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CO(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Repty Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Election with Traverse (of 3 pages); Certificate of Mailing; and Retrun Postcard.				
Incomplet	to Missing Parts/ e Application esponse to Missing Parts ider 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE	OF APPLICANT, ATTORN	EY, OR AGENT				
Firm	Bruce B. Brunda						
or Individual name	STETINA BRUNDA GA	RRED & BRUCKER -	Customer No. 007663				
Signature	1) Denn						
Date	September 15, 2004						
		DATE OF TRANSPORT	N/MAILING				
		CATE OF TRANSMISSIO					
sufficient postage	at this correspondence is being fac- as first class mail in an envelope a etow.Washington, DC 20231 on this	addressed to: Commissioner for F	or deposited with the United States Postal Service with atents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on				
Typed or printed name Dawn A. Privett							
Signature	1 stor		Date September 15, 2004				
This collection of inf	ormation is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The	Information is required to obtain or ret	ain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO t process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Application No.: 10/662,779

Response to Election of Species Requirement of August 31, 2004

Attorney Docket: NORTE-500A

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR. C. 6.2327

MAR 2 2 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	Kent E. Peterson)	Confirmation No.	2186
Serial No.:	10/622,779)	Art Unit:	2817
Filed:	September 15, 2003) }	Examiner:	Stephen E. Jones
For:	Distributed Interconnect) }		
		,		

ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Election of Species Requirement of August 31, 2004, the time set for a response being one (1) month from the mailing date from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, i.e. September 30, 2004, Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, the species which is directed to Figure 2. Applicant notes that Claims 1-8 and 18-24 are believed to be readable on the elected species, and, as the Examiner has noted, Claims 1 and 18 are generic.

The above elections are made with traverse for the reasons set herein below.

In the official action of August 31, 2004 the Examiner asserted that the application contains claims directed to distinct species including:

<u>SPECIES</u>	FIGURES
ī.	2;
II.	3;
Ш.	5;
IV.	7;
V.	9; and

Application No.: 10/662,779

Response to Election of Species Requirement of August 31, 2004

Attorney Docket: NORTE-500A

VI.

The Examiner has required an election of one of the aforementioned species.

10.

Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner has omitted one (1) of the two (2) criteria for a proper restriction requirement now established by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office policy. That is, as set forth in MPEP §803, "An appropriate explanation" must be advanced by the Examiner as to the existence of a "serious burden" if the restriction requirement were not required.

While the Examiner has alleged a possible distinction between the identified species, the Examiner has not shown that a concurrent examination of species, would present a "serious burden". Applicant respectfully submits that the search for the combination of features recited in the claims of the individual species, if not totally co-extensive, would appear to have a very substantial degree of overlap. Because the search for each species of invention is substantially the same, Applicant submits that no undue or serious burden would be presented in concurrently examining Species 1-6. Thus, for the above-noted reasons, in consistent with the office policy set forth above in MPEP §803, Applicant respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the species requirement in this application.

Furthermore it is noted that Species I, Figure 2 and Species III, Figure 5 are merely variants of similar embodiments thus at a minimum, Applicant requests the Examiner to consider Figures 2 and 5 to be the same species.

For all of the above reasons, the Examiner election of species is believed to be improper. Nevertheless, Applicant has elected, with traverse, the invention defined by Figure 2, i.e. Claims 1-8 and 18-24, in which at least Claim 1 and 18 are generic, in the event that the Examiner chooses not to reconsider and withdraw the election of the instant species requirement.

Should the Examiner have any questions or comments, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone listed below.

Application No.: 10/662,779

Response to Election of Species Requirement of August 31, 2004

Attorney Docket: NORTE-500A

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 19-4330.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 15, 2004

Customer No.: 007663

By:

ate. September 13, 2004

Bruce B. Brunda

Registration No. 28,497

STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER

PAGE 06

75 Enterprise, Suite 250 Aliso Viejo, California 92656 Telephone: (949) 855-1246

Fax: (949) 855-6371

T:\Client Documents\norte\500a\Response to Election Requirement.doc

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:		
☐ BLACK BORDERS		
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES		
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING		
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING		
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES		
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS		
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS		
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT		
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY		

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.